



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/007,058	12/04/2001	Jeong-Dae Son	678-714(P9741)	6217
28249	7590 11/16/2005		EXAMINER	
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. UNIONDALE, NY 11553		NGUYEN, KHAI MINH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
UNIONDAL	E, NI 11333		2687	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/007,0	58	SON, JEONG-DAE	
		Examine	r	Art Unit	T
		Khai M. N	lguyen	2687	
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communicate Reply	tion appears on th	e cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL ions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, ply received by the Office later than three months after in patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TI 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no everation. Bry period will apply and we by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNION PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF T	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)⊠ ∃ 3)□ \$	Responsive to communication(s) filed of this action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	This action is allowance except	t for formal matt		ne merits is
Dispositio	on of Claims				
5) 🖂 (6) 🖂 (7) 🖂 (8) 🖂 (6)	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the app (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are vectors (Claim(s) 1-10 is/are allowed. (Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are rejected. (Claim(s) is/are objected to. (Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co			
Application	on Papers				
10)□ T	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	D accepted or be n to the drawing(s) e correction is requi	be held in abeyar red if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a) [Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies o	cuments have be cuments have be the priority docum I Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in A nents have beer ale 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this Nationa	ıl Stage
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (P ^T	ГО-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/007,058

Art Unit: 2687

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is response to Amendment filed on 9/9/2005 and 12/7/2004.
 Claims 1-12 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoon (U.S. Pat-5978589).

Regarding claim 11, Yoon teaches a system for sharing a program in a mobile communications system having a control unit and a plurality of target boards (fig.1, fig.3-4, col.1, lines 44-57), comprising:

wherein the control unit stores an execution file compiled to contain program code to operate each of the plurality of target boards according to a target board identification (ID) (fig.1,fig.3-4, col.1, lines 44-57, col.2, line 43 to col.3, line19).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoon (U.S. Pat- 5978589) in view of Huan (U.S. Pat-6751658).

Regarding claim 12, Yoon teaches the system for sharing a program in a mobile communications system of claim 11.

Yoon fails to specifically disclose each of the plurality of target boards is assigned an identification (ID) code that the control unit reads and executes subroutines of the execution file according to the ID code of the target board. However, Huan teaches each of the plurality of target boards is assigned an identification (ID) code that the control unit reads and executes subroutines of the execution file according to the ID code of the target board (fig.3, fig.6-7, col.10, lines 11-16). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use each of the plurality of target boards is assigned an identification (ID) code that the control unit reads and executes subroutines of the execution file according to the ID

code of the target board as taught by Huan with Yoon teaching in order to maintenance of current computing environments is complex and time consuming.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-10 are allowed.

Regarding claims 1 and 6: The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Prior art teaches method of sharing a program using target board identifications (IDs) in a mobile communication system, comprising the steps of: executing by a main processor a shared execution file for a plurality of target boards in the system when power is supplied to the system, said main processor apart from said plurality of target boards. However, the prior art fails to teaches reading by a main processor a target board ID of each target board; initializing target board hardware according to the target board ID, and initializing by a main processor an operating system (OS) for each target board using the target board ID; and branching by a main processor into a sub-routine for each target board according to the target board ID and executing by a main processor an application program for the target board, and storing by a main processor a master execution file in a memory, said master execution file compiled to contain program code to operate a plurality of target boards of the mobile communication system, said main processor apart from said plurality of target boards.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/007,058

Art Unit: 2687

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khai M. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571.272.7923. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on 571.272.7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,058

Art Unit: 2687

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SONNYTRINH PRIMARY EXAMINER

Khai Nguyen Au: 2687

11/11/2005